UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SIMON AND SIMON, PC, et al., Plaintiffs,

Case No. 20-cv-03754-VC

v.

ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

MISTY SNOW, et al.,

Case No. 21-cv-03269-VC

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER REGARDING OUTSTANDING SEALING MOTIONS

Align's requests to seal portions of its briefing and the record at the class certification and summary judgment stages are denied as overbroad. First, Align continues to request sealing of information that is already in the public record (such as the contents of Align's press releases or public statements), information that is not sealed elsewhere in the filings, or information that is easily inferable from the unsealed portions of the sentences. See Simon & Simon, Dkt. No. 318-1 at 13; Dkt. No. 318-5 at 48. Second, Align seeks to seal too much of the expert reports: while the terms of Align's pricing agreements are confidential, the conclusions drawn by the experts after

Case 3:20-cv-03754-VC Document 438 Filed 03/12/24 Page 2 of 2

looking at all of the evidence are not confidential. For example, it would not be appropriate to

seal Dr. Singer's conclusion that Align foreclosed a critical share of the market. See Simon &

Simon, Dkt. No. 318-1 at 72. Third, Align attempts to seal entire documents too frequently—it is

not clear without further explanation that every internal email between Align employees meets

the requirements for sealing.

Given that, Align is directed to file new motions to seal in both the Simon & Simon and

Snow actions, which should be narrowed to reflect the guidance from this order. The proposed

order should account for all outstanding sealing requests. 3Shape's sealing requests suffer from

the same issues. 3Shape is also directed to file an updated sealing motion that accounts for its

outstanding sealing requests and provides a better explanation of why the specific material that it

requests to have sealed is confidential. To be clear, no further action is needed by the direct

purchaser plaintiffs, the indirect purchaser plaintiffs, or third parties other than 3Shape. All

responsive filings are due in 21 days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2024

VINCE CHHABRIA

United States District Judge

2